Third Lanark Athletic Club Limited

Report

Appointments and terms of reference

 On the 15th day of June 1988, I, John Monorieff Turner, was appointed an inspector to examine the affairs of the above company in the following terms:

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES ACT 1946

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE THIRD LANARK ATHLETIC CLUB LIMITED

"The Board of Trade is pursuance of the powers conferred on them by Section 1810b) of the Companies Act 1846, harrby appoint Mr. John Montrielf Traver, GBS, CA, of 10 Mitchell Street, Glaspov CI, to act as impedior to investigate the affairs of the above-named company and to report thereon in such marmer as the Board may direct."

 On the 10th day of January 1967, I, the Honourable Henry Shanks Keith, QC, of 33 Heriot Row, Edinburgh 3, was similarly appointed an an additional inspector.

History

- 3. The Third Lazark Athletic Club Limited (hereafter referred to as "the Company") is a public company formed in 1903 and baving among its principal objects that of promoting the practice and play of football. By interlocutor of the Court of Session dated 14th April 1967, an order was made for the compulsory winding-up of the Company.
- 14th Agril 1997, an order was make not the computatory winding-up or the Company.

 4. The share capital originally issued appears to have been subscribed mainly by members of the Third Lanark Edile Volunteers Athletic Cubs, Glasgow, and members have been supported that is to susse, there are still a large number of members each with a small sharebolding. Certain members, however, but it is substantial shareboldings.
- 5. For some years dissatisfaction with the general management of the Company has been prevalent amongst shareholders and frequent changes have occurred in the board of directors following differences of opinion between certain major shareholders. In particular, at the annual general meeting in 1962, there was what has been termed a "makeover" when a number of directors who had held differ for five years resigned, and
- a former director rejoined the board.

 8. Both prior to the above meeting, and subsequent to it, there was a considerable affort by certain persons to acquire shares and also to obtain support at the meetings
- by means of proxy rotes.

 7. Every resolution put to a Company meeting since 1982 has been the subject of a poll vote which reversed the decision of the numerical majority of sharsholders. These resolutions ranged over a variety of subjects, including acceptance of the accounts and the removal of the playing reque of the club from Glazgow to East Killirich.

Preliminary investigation

- Our preliminary investigation revealed a considerable number of irregularities in the management of the Company's affairs. These irregularities fell into three main fields:
 - I. Control of the Company
 - II. Capital and share transactions
 - III. Cash transactions

Our report deals with each of these fields.

(i) General

9. During the period since 1962, the board of directors has on a number of occasions acted contrary to Article 66, when there were fewer than the five members required by

Article 59 of the Company's Articles of Association. In particular between May and December 1965 and from January 1967 until the order for winding up of the Company, there were four members only. 10. No register of directors and secretaries has been maintained and we have not

been able in all cases to varify the information given to us, which indicates that the following persons have held office during the periods stated:

Directors W. McLean

S. Basso

J. Scanlon

J. White

R.T. Martin G. Foster R. Spence J. Marray W.C. Hiddle ston S. Meadow J. McMillan

from

J. Ross R. Hough J. Agnew T.C. McPhee J. M. Lough J. F. Reilly from A. Foreman from

28th June 1956 to 13th December 1962 28th June 1956 to 13th December 1962 6th November 1956 to 21st November 1963 5th March 1957 to 16th June 1963 5th March 1957 to 13th December 1962 and from 13th December 1962

21st December 1954 to 26th February 1967 27th December 1962 to 21st November 1963 27th December 1962 to 21st November 1963 16th June 1963 to 21st November 1963 21st November 1963 to 4th June 1964

21st November 1963 to 9th January 1967 21st November 1963 21st November 1963 to 4th June 1964

10th January 1964 to 20th May 1965 2nd July 1964 to 20th May 1965 20th May 1955 to 13th October 1966 16th December 1965

2nd December 1966

Sporetaries: W. C. Hiddleston J. Murray J.M. Lough and W. Steele R. Evans T Ross from

Companies Act 1948.

8th November 1955 to 20th March 1956 20th March 1956 to 13th December 1962 13th December 1962 to 25th September 1963 29th January 1964 to 9th April 1964 15th April 1965 to 13th October 1966 26th September 1963 to 29th January 1964 9th April 1964 to 15th April 1968. January 1967

11. Among the above changes is the appointment of Mr. W.C. Hiddleston to the board in December 1962. Mr. Hiddleston had previously been a director from December 1954 until February 1957, when his resignation was requested by the other directors on the grounds that he had allegedly carried out a number of unauthorised cash transactions. During the ensuing years Mr. Hiddleston made several efforts to rejoin the board and by December 1962 appears to have collected sufficient proxy votes and other support to out-vote the existing board. At an annual general meeting held on 12th December 1962, three of the five directors resigned and Mr. Hiddleston was appointed. Within a year the change-over was complete, the two directors who had retained office having also resigned.

12. Immediately after the above meeting, Mr. J.M. Lough, Certified Accountant, was appointed secretary. Mr. Lough has acted as personal accountant for Mr. Hiddleston since 1957, and appears to have worked closely with Mr. Hiddleston in most aspects of the Company's management and administration.

13. From the time of the annual general meeting in December 1962, there have been many infringements of the statutory provisions for the regulation of a limited company.

(ii) Qualification of directors 14. No register of directors' shareholdings has been kept but from the records it is apparent that certain directors held office and (with the exception of Mr. Foreman) acted without holding the qualification shares required by Article 64 of the Company's

ited image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

	Appointed	Acquired
	to board	qualifying holding
J. White	21st November 1963	2nd July 1964
J. Ross	21st November 1963	2nd July 1964
J. Agnew	10th January 1984	2nd July 1984
A. Foreman	2nd December 1966	none acquired
#45) Minutes and ma	setimes	

15. In 1959 the existing bound minute book was discontinued and since that time a plain loose-leaf book has been used. We found that there were missing from this book the minutes of at least three board meetings at which the directors discussed the share transactions referred to in paragraphs 41 and 52.

16. From July 1964, until December 1965, the minutes show only changes in directors holding office, and the amount of the bank overdraft.

17. We have been advised by Mr. Reilly that at no meeting between 16th December 1965, when he joined the board, and the end of 1966, did he see, or as chairman sign, a minute for a previous meeting, and we have been unable to trace the existence of any such minutes. 18. Various former directors have stated to us that no proper or regular meetings

were held sincs 1964, and this was confirmed by Mr. Evans, a former secretary of the 19. In view of the other matters disclosed in our report, it is of significance that

many share transfers were given effect by the issue of shars certificates without there being any record of formal approval of the transactions by the directors. Similarly there is no record of the board having duly sanctioned the signing of any chemies during the period covered by our investigation, and in this connection it is to be noted that Article 73(12) of the Company's Articles of Association provides inter alia: "in case any Director shall sign any cheese without the sanction of a meeting of Directors, such Directors so signing shall be personally liable for and shall refund the amount of such cheque to the Company".

(b) Statutory records not kept

20. The following statutory records have not been adequately kept: Register of directors and secretaries Register of directors' shareholdings

Minute books We have been unable to obtain any record of financial transactions subsequent to April 1966, except as detailed in paragraph 63.

(c) Company meetings 4) General

22. Annual general meetings have been held and audited accounts submitted to the

shareholders as follows: Accounts for Date of meeting year to 59th AGM 12th December 1962 30th April 1962 60th AGM 23rd December 1964* 30tb April 1963

61st AGM 23rd December 1964* 30th April 1954 (*immediately adjourned and reconvened on 29th January 1965) 23. In terms of Article 99 of the Company's Articles of Association, the share-

holders are entitled to receive copies of accounts at an annual general meeting to be beld within three months of the end of the period of such account, but no accounts subsequent to those for the year to 30th April 1964 have been circulated and no annual general meeting has been held since January 1965, 24. The only reason given to us by the directors for delay in presentation of the

1963 accounts was the alleged failure of the auditors to complete their audit. However, the auditors approved the accounts for the year to 30th April 1963 on 9th December 1963 and over a year clapsed thersafter before the accounts were made available to the

sharebolders. We feel it to be significant that during the intervening period the directors had considerably increased their starcholdings, partly by transactions stated to be in terms of Article 32 of the Company's Articles, quoted later in this report. 25. We were advised by the suditors. Messrs. Alexander Stoan & Co., Chartered

Accountants, that such delays as did occur each year in the preparation of audited accounts arose through their isability to obtain necessary information from the officers of the Company. This is confirmed by correspondence which we have seen both in the files of the Company and in those of the auditors. 26. By letter dated 8th May 1966, Mr. Reilly stated to the Board of Trade that the

accounts for the year to 30th April 1965 had been completed by the auditors, that they were being printed, and that steps were being taken to call the 62nd annual general meeting. This meeting has never been called.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

(ii) Notice to shareholders

27. Correspondence in the Company's files shows that certain shareholders did not receive notice of an extraordinary general meeting held on 1st April 1966.

28. One shareholder, Dr. William Brown, wrote to the secretary of the Company on 23rd February, and again on 1st March 1966, requesting "an extract of the up-to-date Register of Shareholders". On 14th March 1966, following a complaint to the Board of Trade that the shareholder had received no acknowledgement, the Board of Trads asked the Company for an explanation. On 26th March, Mr. Reilly, then a director and the Company's law agent, wrote to the Board of Trade stating that the word 'extract' had caused confusion but that a letter had been written to the shareholder requesting clarification. In fact, the request for clarification was not dispatched by Mr. Reilly until 28th March, three days before the appointed day for the meeting. It appears that there was no prior effort to give the desired information, which finally reached Dr. Brown on the day of the meeting, too late for him to contact the shareholders or take any other action with the information he received.

29. At the 61st annual general meeting, the Company secretary, Mr. Evans, stated that he did not sign the accounts for the year to 30th April 1984, nor the notice calling the meeting, although both documents circulated to shareholders bore his name. We have ascertained by examination of the principal accounts that they were not signed by Mr. Evans.

fittl Minutes

30. Since the minute of the meeting held on 12th December 1962 no official record has been made of the proceedings at the Company's meetings, although typewritten draft minutes have been compiled.

Ant Request for Board of Trade enquiry 31. The draft minute of the 60th annual general meeting held on 29th January 1965

records that certain members called for a Board of Trade enquiry, and that the Company's solicitor, Mr. P. McGettigan agreed that this would be done. No steps were, bowever, taken and following an enquiry about the matter mads by the Board of Trade on 17th May 1965 and an account of the proceedings at the meeting sent to the Board by the Company's secretary on 20th May 1965) Mr. McGettigun on 18th June 1965 wrote to the Board of Trade stating that in his view the request was made "in vixo". He has

explained to us that he did not think that any action was required. 32. At a subsequent extraordinary general meeting convened on 1st April 1966 to

consider a formal resolution for a Board of Trade investigation under section 165(a) of the Companies Act 1948, the chairman, Mr. Reilly, is recorded in the draft minute as stating that he had had full conversation with members of the Board of Trade and that they were satisfied that the affairs of the Company were in order. During examination on oath before us on 8th March 1967, Mr. Railly was asked for his explanation of this and stated that he had spoken on the telephone to a young lady at the Board of Trade and told her that as far as he was concerned there was nothing wrong with the club. He further stated to us that his sole purpose at the meeting was to try and pour oil on troubled waters. The resolution was carried on a show of hands by 69 votes to 5, but defeated on a poll by 12,500 to 6,053. The present investigation was initiated by the Board of Trade itself.

II. Capital and Share Transactions

(a) Share capital 33. By 1957 the share records were in a state of considerable confusion. Over the past ten years the total of the list of shareholders submitted with the Company's annual return to the Registrar of Companies has never agreed with the issued share capital.

(b) Share registers

34. Apart from an incomplete number of entries in 1961 and 1962, the original register has not been written up since 1960. From 1961, the only record has been a book showing an alphabetical list of members amended by deletion, erasure and alteration. This book records the name, address and number of shares held, but does not show the following:

Amount pald up Distinctive share numbers Date of entry as a member

and in many cases. ftv) The date of ceasing to be a member

35. A large number of errors have occurred and a list taken from it shows a total

of 20, 250 shares although the authorised and issued capital is recorded as 20, 000 shares only. An excess of 126 ordinary and 124 preference shares has arisen through various errors, including the incorract application of Article 32, referred to later in our report, (c) Share numbers

36. The Directors have not authorised the climination of distinguishing share numbers, but in the majority of cases in the past four years these have been omitted from share certificates, counterfolls and transfers, as well as from the share registers.

(d) Approval of transfers by directors

37. In a number of cases since 1962 the approval of share transfers has not been recorded in the minutes of the directors' meetings. However, amongst those which were approved were a considerable number of transfers which were not stamped.

(e) Unstamped share transfers

38. At the start of our investigation it became obvious that a very large number of share transfers were missing from the Company files. It was subsequently disclosed that 167 transfers in favour of Mr. Hiddleston had recently been passed to the Stamp Duty Office for late stampling. These were in due course returned to us bearing duty stamps dated 21st September 1986, although the transfer dates were very much earlier and the transfers had been registered contrary to Section 17 of the Stamp Act 1891. The extent of this irregularity is shown by the number of shares involved: 6,376 out of a total of 5,607 acquired by Mr. Hiddleston since January 1963, for which transfers

have been seen by us. 39. The majority of the above shares were entered in the share records by Mr. Lough, who has stated to us that he was aware that the transfers were unstamped. We were informed by Mr. Hiddleston that in some cases, the relative entries are in his own handwriting, and in other cases in that of his daughter, Miss M. A. Hiddleston. Both Mr. Lough and Mr. Hiddleston have also stated to us that they knew it was illegal to

register unstamped transfers.

ff) Other irregular share transfers 40. In commining the transfers in favour of Mr. Hiddleston, we noted a number of cases in which the signature of the transferor appeared to us to warrant further investigation, in particular in cases where the cancelled certificate was not available or where the signature seemed to differ from earlier signatures by the same person.

41. Two transfers dated 24th April 1964, purporting to represent the transfer of 172 ordinary and 78 preference shares from a Mr. W.H. Robison to Mr. Hiddleston, had been considerably altered. The number of ordinary shares had originally been shown as 153 (which was the number actually owned by Mr. Robison) and on each transfer form Mr. Hiddleston's name and signature were each superscribed on Mr. Lough's which had apparently been erased after attestation. None of the alterations has been

42. Two directors' minutes dealing with the above transactions and dated 26th September and 3rd October 1963 were missing from the minute book but we have received comies from the chairman at that time, Mr. G. Foster, It appears that Mr. Robison had earlier applied for repayment of a loan to the Company, but that this had been refused and Mr. Robison had offered his shares for sale on condition that the loan was renald. Mr. Lough (financed by Mr. Hiddleston) had purchased the shares and loan without advising the directors, other than Mr. Hiddleston, of his actions and, as a result, at the meeting of 3rd October 1963 his resignation as secretary was requested. in due course, the transfers appear to have been altered to Mr. Hiddleston's name and dated 20th April 1964, and the loan was repaid to Mr. Lough by the Company, although other applications for repayment of similar loans had been refused, and although re-

payment was not formally approved by the directors. (e) Article 32 transfers

these Articles."

#3 General 43. Amongst others, the undernoted directors appointed on the dates shown, continued in office without qualifying shares beyond the period of two months statutorily

Paymille J. White 21st November 1963

J. Ross 21st November 1963 J. Amew 10th January 1964

44. In an effort to obtain the requisite shares, recourse was had to clauses in the Company's Articles of Association which read as follows :

Article 32: "When two years shall slapse after the death of any member without any person ACTURES ONE THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE STATE STATE STATE OF THE VARIANCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE STATE OF THE STATE OF Article 32a: "In the event of a sale in ferring or Article 33, the model of Scientists, and when so the saxetime of the board, he eigend by say two of the directors and the scientisty, and when so signed and delivered shall be valid and effectual, and the title of the transferse following thereon shall not be open to question in regard to the procedure or formalities observed in terms of

45. As is shown in Appendix A a small number of persons, mainly directors acquired at least 1,610 shares between 2nd July 1964 and 31st March 1966, and the transfers were recorded as being in terms of Article 32. In some other cases, where the share transfer document is missing, the same procedure may have been involved. 46. At the annual general meeting held on 29th January 1965 the transfers in terms

of this Article were questioned and Mr. Hiddleston, as chairman, stated that this issue had been made after taking legal advice. The Company's solicitor at that time, Mr. P. McGettigan, stated to us that his advice had been that the Article could be invoked but that the actual procedures involved were irregular and that the shares should have

been offered to all members of the Company.

47. In addition, Mr. A.G. McBain, CA, a partner in the firm of Messrs. A.G. McBain & Co., Chartered Accountants, who acted as Company treasurers from December 1952 until March 1985, had circulated to the directors two notes dated 19th and 22nd January 1965, in which he criticised the action which the directors had taken in purported exercise of Article 32, and he had also sent to each director a letter dated 27th January 1965, stating that he felt unable to attend the meeting in view of this matter to which he had "taken such strong exception". At the meeting, the directors were asked for the reason for the treasurer's absence. In the draft minute of the meeting Mr. Hiddleston is recorded as having replied that Mr. McBain had been asked by himself and the auditors to attend, but that Mr. McBain seemed to be of the opinion that there was no necessity for him to be present.

Ai) Letter from Board of Trade 48. On 5th July 1965, a letter was sent to Mr. McGettigan by the Board of Trade,

in which information relating to Article 32 transfers was requested. On 7th September 1965, Mr. McGettigan replied stating that no shares had been transferred in terms of Article 32 during 1954 and that 1, 209 shares had been so transferred in January 1965. The Company records show that this is incorrect - 1,358 shares having been transferred in 1964, and none in 1965.

49. Mr. McGettigun's letter stated that the idea of proceeding under Article 32

arose because certain supporters of the club were anxious to become directors. In the case of Mr. Hiddleston and Mr. Ross, bowever, the numbers involved exceeded the director's qualification of 100 shares. Mr. Hiddleston owned 8, 847 shares prior to 2nd July 1954, and the shares so acquired by Mr. Rose total 400. We are satisfied that the main purpose of the majority of the Article 32 transfers was to maintain and increase the voting power of Mr. Hiddleston and his associates.

(lii) Evidence of death

50. It was stated to us that the evidence available was partly the personal knowledge of the directors and partly the return through the Post Office of certain circular letters. The envelopes which were given to us as having been so returned to the Commony are, in the majority of cases, marked 'gone away' or 'not known' and do not satisfy us that the various holdings may have been correctly dealt with under Article 32. 51. It has indeed been found that certain of the shareholders allegedly dead are still

alive, and the envelopes mentioned above include a number where the name and address have been typed on crasure and where the notation "deceased" does not appear to have been written by the postal authorities.

(iv) Transfers to Mr. J. M. Lough: 153 shares

52. In 1964 Mr. Lough had produced for the Company a list of shareholders which showed totals falling short of the authorised and issued capital by 91 ordinary and 62 preference charcs. In December 1964 at a directors' meeting, for which the minute is now missing, certificates were made out in his favour and their issue approved at a further meeting on 21st January 1965. A year later in Docember 1965, Mr. Lough made out transfers purporting to be under Article 32, and to support the issue of the above share certificates. The transfers show consideration of £1 per share, but no money was paid by Mr. Lough.

(h) Proceeds of sale under Article 32

(i) General

53. Article 33 reads as follows : "In the several cases mentioned in Articles 31 and 32 the Board shall be bound to account to

those having right for the prices of the shares so sold, after deducting therefrom the charges incurred and any (sie.) debts owing by the former proprietor to the Company. (ii) Skare twice 54. In considering the steps to be taken the directors took as the value of the shares a figure of 20s. each, this being the value reported by the secretary to persons requiring a figure for estate duty valuation and also being the price paid by Mr. Hiddleston to many holders of a small number of shares. On this basis, the directors considered that 20s. was the proper price for them to pay, although at this time the major transfers

involving independent persons were at prices of 22s. 6d. and 30s. Printed image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

iiii) Debosit receist

55. Following an enquiry by the Board of Trade in July 1965, a letter was sent to the Board by Mr. McGettigan on the instructions of Mr. Hiddleston and Mr. Lough. In this letter, dated 7th September 1985, it was stated that the proceeds of sale of Article 32 shares were \$1,209, and that these proceeds had been ledged on deposit vaccing. The stares were transferred during 1984, but our investigation has shown that the deposit in question was not made until 3rd September 1965 and that on 24th September the deposit was uplifted and the cash returned to the Company's bank account whence it was originally drawn. Mr. Hiddleston and Mr. Lough were signatories to both money transactions.

(b) Amounts not paid

September 1965:

56. As a result, none of the proceeds of sale of shares under Article 32 is held available to meet possible claims in terms of Article 33. The directors maintain that this failure to hold the money in trust was a technical transgression only. However, the consideration for shares transferred up to that time was £1,358, not £1,209. In addition, we found that only £600 had been paid to the Company by the purchasers and the remaining £609 transferred to deposit receipt was the Company's own money. There was thereby concealed the deficit representing the following amounts unpaid at 7th



who originated the statement to the Board of Trade that the proceeds of sale were held on deposit receipt, have still not paid the above sums. 58. Following further transactions, the proceeds of sale now unaccounted for are-

10th August 1984	Mr. W.C. Hiddleston	49	£
22nd December 1964	Mr. W.C. Hiddleston	356	
28th March 1986	Mr. W.C. Hiddleston	36	
31st December 1964 15th March 1966	Mr. J. M. Lough Mr. J. Massie		15: 11: 70:

III. Cash Transactions

(a) General

59. In the earlier sections of this report, we have made reference to a number of inadequacies and inaccuracies in the statutory records of the Company. Very much the same pattern has emerged from our examination of the financial records. 60. Audited accounts covering periods up to 30th April 1964 have been submitted to

the members of the Company. In addition, we have seen accounts for the year to 30th April 1965, which have been signed by the auditors, Messrs. Alexander Sloan & Co., CA, and by the directors. Since starting our investigation, we have received certain records showing the cash transactions during the year to 30th April 1966. These have been proved to be unreliable and despite constant requests few of the records for the period since April 1986 have been produced by the directors.

61. During the period from December 1962 until their resignation in March 1965, the firm of Mesors. A.G. McBain & Co., CA, were employed by the Company as treasurers. This firm was responsible for the compilation of wages, for control of

cheque payments and for the maintenance of the cash records. However, in January 1965 they intimated their resignation because of their dissatisfaction with the system of financial control by the directors. Mr. A.G. McBain also expressed his concern about the transactions relating to shareholdings of deceased shareholders, referred to in the earlier section of this report.

62. Since the resignation of Messrs. A.G. McBain & Co., the wages records have been maintained by the firm of Messrs. Lough, Higgins & Stuart, accountants (of which firm Mr. Lough was, and is, a partner) but the other financial records have been neglected.

b) incomplete records

63. Having obtained only the bank and wages records we have repeatedly asked each officer of the Company for other books of account covering the period from 30th April 1966, but none of these has been produced to us and it is apparent that no such books have been kept.

Each officer of the Company has advised us that he considered that he had no personal responsibility for the maintenance of the Company records, and in the event the financial transactions appear mainly to have rested in the hands of Mr. Hiddleston and, to a lesser extent, Mr. Lough.

(c) Blank cheques 64. This concentration of control within the hands of two officials went so far that a number of the directors have been in the habit of signing cheques without either the name of the payes, or the amount having been entered on the cheque at the time of

(d) Income of the Company

signature.

(i) Car park receipts

65. The records show that the car park at Calchian was used on the occasion of time promising gaines at large leaf as precised green that the promising promising properties of the propertie

66. Gate money of £453 14s. Id. from a match at Cathlein Park on 20th February 1985, had not been lodged in the bank by 30th April 1968, and we have been unable to trace the whereabouts of this money.

67. Until April 1986, the gateman employed by the Company maintained a record of cash drawn at home games and this record confirms the accuracy of the amounts shown by the Company as having been received.

68. However, on a number of occasions the cash received from this source was apparently lodged in the Company's bank account many days after receipt. Further enquiry disclosed that the cash takes at the terratifics had not all been lodged in the bank and that various cheques in the Company's favour had been substituted to reconcile the sums banked with the amounts taken at the gate.

69. The majority, if not the whole, of these cheques represented money in any case due to the Company from other sources, and our engaines disclosed that the income of the Company, which they represented, ind not been brought into account in any books

of the Company.

70. Looking at one example, we find that on let Jamary 1968, Mr. J.M. Lough
signed a receipt for the sum of \$200 178. 6d. in cash received at a hone game against
Queen's Park F.C. Subsequently there were made three bank lodgements which totalled
\$200 178. 6d. and which purported to represent the above cases

14th January 1986 117 2 6 14th January 1988 50 0 0 25th January 1988 39 15 0

71. An examination of records belonging to the bank showed that only a not £109 6s. 3d. of cash reached the bank, and it therefore appears that, to the extent of

2.109 es. 3d. or chair reached the bank, and it therefore appears that, to the extest of 297 8s. 3d., can't was abstracted from the spite receipts.

72. The fact that cash had been abstracted was concealed by the substitution in the bank lodgement of four cheques totalling the same sum. £97 9s. 3d. At least the first three of these choques represented sums to which the Company was entitled, but which

were not recorded as being received by it. They were:

\$\begin{align*} \tilde{\text{they were:}} & \tilde{\text{\$\cong \text{\$\cong \cong \text{\$\cong \cong \cong \text{\$\cong \cong \cong \cong \cong \cong \text{\$\cong \cong \co

73. Mr. Hiddleston stated to us that the abstracted cash must have been paid out

to meet debts due by the Company.

"The bank lodgement of 239 13s, which finally completed and apparently squared this transaction comprised the chages for 544 7s. 3s. from Clydebnak FC, less cash of £4 12s. 3d. uplified. This lodgement was made by Mr. Lough, who has been unable to offer us any explanation for the

75. On 7th October 1965 the Company received a cheque in the sum of £1,800 from Ciyde FC representing the transfer fee for player M. Murray. This cheque formed part of a bank lodgement as follows:

1,600 12 Untraced checop Untraced money order Loss: Taken in cash 76. In the Company records this was represented as the lodgement of the following: £. s. d. £ s. d.

Transfer fee from Clyde FC (cheque) 1.300 0 0 Receipts from home match against Allos Athletic FC on 25th 126 8 0

September 1985 (cash) Receipts from home match against Albion Rovers FC on 11th October 1965 (cush)

Cheque from Clyde FC

192 14 0

77. There was thus a shortage of £320 of actual cash lodged in the bank, being the total cash receipts from the above home matches and the 18s. uplifted. This was conocaled by showing the transfer fee received as £1,300 instead of £1,600 and by failing to record the receipt of the cheque for £12 and the money order for £8. We have not received a satisfactory explanation for this shortage.

78. These and other similar transactions are detailed in Appendix B.

All) Gate receipts from "away" matches 79. On 22nd January 1966 the club played a friendly match against Clydebank FC and in due course the Company received a cheque in the sum of £44 7s. 3d., representing its share of gate receipts. The receipt of this money was not recorded in the Company's books and the cheque was later deposited in the bank as part of a lodgement purporting to represent eash received from the match against Queen's Park FC referred to in paragraph 72 above.

90. Cate money of 250 received from Celtic FC following a game in October 1954 was not recorded as received and the relative cheque was lodged in the bank account of a separate development fund (see paragraph 91 et sub.)

Au) Transfer fees received 81. On a number of occasions the contracts of club players were cancelled and the

consideration received was not correctly recorded in the Company's books. We were advised by Mr. Hiddleston that of these sums £1,300 had been received by him personally and utilised for the payment of tradesmen who had carried out building work at Cathkin Park, but who were prepared only to accept cash and were not prepared to give receipts. 82. We were also advised by Mr. Hiddleston that he had paid £300 in cash to player

M. Murray on his leaving the Company. The payment is not shown in the Company records and receipt of it is denied by Mr. Murray. 83. Details of these transfer fees are shown in Appendix C.

(v) Advertising revenue 84. During the period from April 1964 to December 1965, the Company received revenue totalling £265 11s. 8d. from firms advertising on display panels at Cathkin Park. Of this sum, £32 10s. only has been recorded as received. Cheques to the value of £100 11s. 8d. were lodged in a development fund run by the directors of the Company and the balance of £132 10s, was lodged in the Company's bank account in lieu of cash abstracted from gate receipts, for reasons which have not been adequately

explained to us. (st) Season tickets 85. In November 1965 there was lodged in the Company's bank account the sum of £267 stated to be the proceeds of sale of season tickets. The lodgement comprised five obsques of which one for \$247 10s. was drawn on the Company's own bank account

and charged to expenses. This cheque was stated by Mr. Hiddleston to have been substituted for cash taken by him to cover outlays he had made but we have not seen adequate vonchers to verify this statement. In addition, the season ticket register has not been made available to us and we are unable to confirm that the total proceeds of sale of season tickets were £267.

86. The sum received for season tickets in the previous year was £796.

(bit) International tickets 87. Each season the Company receives a substantial allocation of tickets for international and other matches and between May 1963 and April 1966 the Company paid the sum of £1.787 for the purchase of such tickets.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

88. We have been unable to obtain sight of any record showing the basis of disposal of the tickets but the proceeds of re-suite are shown in the books to be £785. No satisfactory explanation for the shortfall has been given to us.

(vitt) Donations from supporters' association

80. In James 1986, the suporters' association sent a chapte for SSS as a donation to the Company, Receipt of the derivation is not receipt and the chapte was deposited in the bank as part of a holgement purporting to reproduce the the spatial Queen's Park FV referred to in paragraph 22 above.

80. The secretary of the supporters' association, Mr. William Liddell, has stated that a further 500 was handed to Mr. Reilly between November 1986 and March 1987.

Credit for this is not given in the cash account produced to us by Masars. J.F. Reilly & Co., and we have not been also that sum to our satisfaction.

Mr. Reilly has sisted that on a number that the contraction of the cont

(ix) Development funds

91. For a number of years before 1963, there was a Third Lanark Development Club administered by persons independent of the Company. This club received funds from a lottery named "Thirdspool" and in turn paid considerable same to or on behalf of the Company.

 in August 1963 the minutes of directors' meetings record the board's dissatisfaction with the handling of "Ithridapool" and the directors formed another development clubr van initially in opposition to "Unitedpool" and fivally seprending it.
 From August 1963 this latter club was operating a lotter; - the "III-fil" pool,

and this was continued at least until July 1965, although the required registration under the Betting, Gaming and Lotteries Act 1963 was cancelled in December 1963 by Mr. Lough and never remewed. 94. A bank account was opened in the name of this club; the persons authorized to

sign cheese below was opened in the name or this cinit; the persons authorized to sign cheese being the directors of the Company and Mr. Lough. Apart from the bank book and returned cheques, few records are available to explain the operations of this fund.

85. We have examined a book maintained by Mr. Hiddleston and his daughter, Miss M. A. Hiddleston, which shows receipts from the sale of thekets in the "HL-By pool between 11th Jaly 1964 and 3rd Jaly 1965, among the 11th Jaly 1964 and 3rd Jaly 1965, among the 11th Jaly 1964 and 19th Jaly 1965, among the new pool of the amounts drawn in the above period having been followed. So the second or otherwise accounted for, and we have not been able to assertian was account or otherwise accounted for, and we have not been able to assertian was accounted to the second of the se

96. Sums of money which were lodged in the development club bank account included many due to the Company, amongst them the following:

| Section | Color | Co

Printed image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

97. In addition, the club received sums from the sale of board room tickets for Cathkin. Available papers show 4586 to have been due in 1963, but we have been unable to trace more than £408 to the bank. No record is available to show sums which should have been received in 1964 or later years.

98. Tickets which were printed for the "Hi-Hi" pool show weekly prizes totalling \$2200, but we have seen no record of the payment of any prizes, with the exception of the under-noted chaques:

22nd September 1964 £80 to Old Eagle hm Limited

337

(Constantiol marked "lat Price 18th September 1866". This there was endorsed by Mr. R. McCrudden, a director of Gio Engle bin Limited, who has advanced us that he was no price from the development fixed from, but that the change was caused by Min at the request of adjuvent control of the co

who stated that cash was required for the payment of a prize.)

12th July 1964

13th June 1964

£50 to David Hynds, endorsed to

99. We were advised by the directors that a number of cheques drawn to June 1994 and totalling over 2300 represented prizes for a Derby draw, but we have been unable to verify this or to see any record of the processed of the draw.
100. In November 1905 decree was given against Mr. Hiddleston in respect of a personal died due to James Sectif (Electrical Engineers) Limited. A cheeps in the sum

of £129 8s. 7d. drawn on the development club account was proferred by Mr. Hiddleston in part settlement of this decree, but was not med due to the prior arrestment of the funds at credit of the account which amounted to £129 6s. 7d. precisely.

(c) Exceediblare by the Company

6) Wages

Hiddleston.

6) Wagges 101. Since April 1985 the payroll records have been maintained by Messrs. Lough, Higgins & Staart and shortly after their taking them over Nr. Lough and Mr. Hödleston arranged that the latter should receive a makery at the rate of £20 per week. This remmeration has been regularly paid since 17th June 1985, although it has not been sufficiently the members of the Company in meneral meeting are reordired by Article!

80 of the Company's Articles of Association, nor by the other directors.
102. Again without the Board's knowledge or suthority, the sum of \$5 per week has been paid since 15th Agril 1965 to Mr. Hiddleston's daugher, Miss M.A. Hiddleston, a schoolgirl. Mess Biddleston has been said by Mr. Hiddleston have acted as a partitime typics for the Company, but despite the regular salary we were informed that Miss Hiddleston had worked only during school holidays.

103. During the same period since April 1965, substantial sums have been entered in the wages sheets against unidentified and other casual employees:

	April 1966	January 1967
	£	£
"Groundsman"	189	171
"Casual groundsman"	7	70
"Cleaner"	200	200
"Trialist"	35	65
"Angus"	17	0
"Tradesman (Bricklayer)" 0	10
"Casual Labour (Builder	8)" 442	0
	890	516

104. During our meetings with directors and officials of the Company we have been unable to obtain any satisfactory explanation or receipts for the above payments, which are additional to the wages paid to named employees. Further, the under-noted epical-ture in the year to 30th April 1968 is unexplained and no receipts are available: Payments from petty cash for canual labour.

"Groundsman" 12 0 0
"Anh spreading" 12 0 0
"Anh spreading" 12 0 0
"Meeding" 8 5 0
"Cascal labour" 21 0 0
"Chanal labour" 53 5 0
Other cash payments
"Butleer" 230 0 0
51 50 0

(fi) Transfer fee paid 106. In 1986 Mr. Hiddlesten satered into a contract on behalf of the Company for the transfer of player J. Herbert from Doncaster Rowers FC, as a result of which the Company was required to, and did, pay the sum of \$200 to Doncaster Rowers.

166. On 10th April 1987, the beard of directors informed Mr. Hiddleston that he was regarded by them as percentally responsible for this liability on the beasts that he had acted "without consultation with, or authority of, the Board" and on 3rd May 1987 the Common received a cheese for \$500 from Mesers. Losselt, Hisgins & Co., Certified

Accounts: "In actitement of Mr. Hödlestors's implied liability".

107. Following Mr. Hödlestors's returns to the board in 1982, the minute of a meeting hold on IRst March 1993 shows that the board received a letter from Messes. McGettigns & Go., requesting "first a sum of 500 paids by Mr. Hödleston personally to Doncaster & Go., requesting "first a sum of 500 paids by Mr. Hödleston personally to Doncaster being requested for this amount". The minuten further report the chairman, Mr. G. Poster, as staffing "that as club Lawyers, Messras. McGettigns & Go., had devised

him on the telephone that the contents of the letter were in order and should be carried out!".

109. The conclusion of this matter was that a sum of \$1,000 representing tater also the repayment of this \$500, was paid to Mr. Hiddleston through Messrs. McGettigan & Co. in November 1984.

(iii) Cash expenditure 109. Until 2nd April 1985, the petty cash book was maintained by Mr. Evans. From that data until 30th April 1886, when they cases, all estrics have been made by Mr. 110. We have seen reconters covering expenditure in the year to 30th April 1885, although a considerable proportion of these comprises only alpha single of Mr. Holdieston, specifying couldn't an expenditure of the proposition of the propo

111. We have seen no vonchors to support petty cash expenditure of £1,326 13s. 11d. in the year to 30th April 1965, and without doing so, we are smaller to determine whether the petty cash book correctly rescords the outlays made on behalf of the Company. In nasticular.

her been can be accounted to the company. In particular, we are not satisfied that certain duplicated payments for substantial sums are in order. 112. During the year to 30th April 1966 further sums of cash drawn from the bank

with the wages cheques were recorded as expenditure on ground upkers. Among these were unvesched payments of 235 los, to casual workers referred to in paragraph 104 and other items totalling £246 8s. 2d., for which we have seen no explanation and no receipt.

(iv) Sundry Outlays

White chips

113. In October 1985 the Company purchased and paid for a supply of red whin chips
from Messrs. Scott & Rac Limited, 265 Pollokshaws Road, Glasgow. One of the invoices
(Aspendix D) representing a consignment priced at 26 15s. bears a solution "collected
by contractors" lorry" which has been written on erssure. Messrs. Scott & Rac advised

us that the notation had not plankly read 'D, D, 100 Fermicajs Rosa, "and that the chips had been delivered to that land had been delivered to that land the state home of shr. Biddleston.

114. When examined by us, Mr. Which had been delivered to him, but that the chips had been conceal the fact that the chips had been delivered to him, but that the chips had been taken by him in exchange for comest, with correctment for the Company. There is no record of the supply of this censent.

Carpet fitting

115. Co. 34th February 1963 a decem in the sum of \$25.10s. was drawn on the Company's account in source of February and count in favore of February and casted by the 'Indifferent This is entered in the books as a payment to Mr. Albert and is supported by a supported by a respect to the proper of the proper of the Albert and is supported by a work of the sum of

done work for Third Lanar's to the value of \$22 10s.; that he had done no work for the Company in February 1905; and that he had not received £52 10s. When examined by us, Mr. Hiddleston was mable to explain this transaction to our anishection. Note corporate 117. The Company records show the acquisition on 3rd May 1965 of two identical

carpets and the eventual payment of both suppliers' accounts. One invoice and receipt is in the name of John Lyle & Company Limited, Carpet Manufacturers, the purchase price being 281 Bg. 8d.; and the other in the name of Mesers. Sulman & Sons Limited, Wholesalors, of St. Enoch House, 163 Argyle Street, Glasgow C2, the purchase price being 262 13s. 3d. (Account).

118. Mesers. Stulman have informed us that they did not supply the carpet, that they did not prepare the receipted invoice and that they did not at any time receive the sum referred to.

Painting and decorating

119. The Company records show the following payments to Mr. John Baird, Painter and Decorator, formerly of 5 St. Mungo Street, Glasgow C4, and now of 14 Armadale Path, Glasgow E1, supported by vouchers in the form of receipted invoices from Mr. Baird.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

(c) 24th October 1980

120. Mr. Baird has stated to us that he personally wrote out all statements and receipts, but that on the voucher relating to (a) neither the form of receipt nor the amount (which had been written on exameny was in his handwriting (although the body of the invoice was), and the vouchers relating to (b) and (c) had not been prepared or receipted by his

121. Mr. Raird has further stated that the work specified on the vouchers relating to \$0 and (c) land not been done by him, and that of the amounts shown on the receipts \$49 only had been received. This, however, was in settlement of an account for the painting said wall-spacering of Mr. Indivision's home and not for any work at Cathbian Park. As regard wondere \$0, Mr. Baird said to had done some signwriting at Cathbian.

Park to the value of not more than £1, but that he had not been paid for it. Mr. Baird informed us that Mr. Hiddieston had asked him for some blank invoice forms on the grounds that he admired the layout, and that Mr. Baird had given him some.

Residence and Petersary 1985, a change on the Company's account made pupulse to "A.1.D. Restrict no Entere" in the most of 20 11s, was easied by Mr. Riddicking. In the books fittle is recorded at height a payment to Mesers. AD Electric (Ringery) Limited for the purpose of the second of the secon

belail of the Company,

133. Mr. Andrew Docherty, a director of Messrs. AD Electrics (Glasgow) Limited,
54 Howard Street, Glasgow CI, has scaled to us that his company does not deal in radiograms or light brockeds, did not set in the Company are and did not receive either
purposed and the company of the

```
(a) 21st March 1965 16 3 0 Appendix I
(b) 25th October 1965 46 16 0
```

(c) 24th December 1965 4 16 0 124th December 1965 4 18 on Africa Conductive Stated that neither the voucher nor the receipt thereon had been prepared or issued by his Company. He further stated that they had never supplied floodlight builts which were referred to in the petty cash book entry rotating to (b) above.

Refrighments
125. The records show that the expenditure on liquor exceeded £300 in each of the
two years prior to 30th April 1965. This greatly exceeds the amount stated by the
directors to have been consumed and we have been unable to verify that all of the expend-

iture recorded was made on behalf of the Company.

126. A number of the payaments which are included in the records and which total

127. As the second of the payament of the

not been paid the amounts shown. (Appendix J).

127. Mr. McCrudden also drew attention to certain vouchers bearing revenue stamps
on which were superscribed the phranes "Paid by Cash... R. McCrudden" and "Received
payment, with thanks, R. McC". These, Mr. McCrudden stated, were not authentic
and had not been written by him or by any representative of the company.

Electrical equipment
128. The Company records show a payment of £45 17s. 10d. on 28th April 1965 to
Messrs. Falks Limited, 254 Chylo Street, Glasgow CI. This purported payment is
supported by three invoices summarised on one bearing a form of receipt, part of which

is illegible, viz...", 28/4/95 Falks Ltd..." (Appendix K).
128. Mr. E.J. McGeachy, an accountant employed by Falks, has stated to us that
his company had not prepared the receipt and did not receive the sum of £45 17s. 10d.,
or three other sums shown in the Third Lazark records as paid to them in cash, namely:

```
$\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 26th November 1965 Beard room lights $\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 8. d.

$\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 26th November 1965 Beard room lights $\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 8. d.

$\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 8. d.

$\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 8. d.

$\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 8. d.

$\frac{\xi}{\xi}$ 9. 9
```

Summary and Conclusions

130. Our investigation has disclosed that over recent years there has been an accelerating deterioration in the management of the Company's business and the general conduct of its sfaffers, which may fairly be dated from the return of Mr. Haddleston to

the board of directors in December 1982.

11. Matters reached such a state that from April 1985, no proper books of account 11. Matters reached such a state that from the property of the state of the property of the state of the property of the property

the authority of the drawer for disclosure of his identity.

132. R seems clear that Mr. Hiddieston, for reasons which are obscure, made up his mind to secure practical control of the Company. In this he eventually succeeded,

and flavoration the other directors of the Company consisted unitraly of persons accepts that the 18 miles of the flavoration of the company flavoration of the company flavoration of the company is affairs and the management of its flamone entirely into his own hands exist the company is affairs and the management of its flamone entirely into his own hands exist the company is affairs and the management of its flamone entirely into his own hands exist the company is affairs for the company is management of the flamone entirely into the consideration of the confidence in the Company's management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions in the Company's management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions in the Company's management on the part of the other shareholders and outdition of the Company's management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions that Company's management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions that the company is management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions that the company is management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions that the company is management of the company is management of the company is management on the part of the other shareholders and outditions that the company is management of t

133. While the primary responsibility for the decline and remain collapse of the Company resist agencylo on R: Hildedines, the other electricity for the material period must beer responsibility for failure own to altering to energies the functions of over the Company's Bannesse. As we have mentioned to garange the correct the Company's Bannesse. As we have mentioned to garange the creditive regular practice for directors to stap black cheepes. We can find no commist for the regular practice for directors to stap black cheepes. We can find no commist for the regular practice for directors to stap black cheepes. We can find no commist for the company after the property of the company and the property of the property of the company and the property of the pro

184. This poweral picture is that the Coupsoury cans to be on by Ver. Buddeston, with the acquestions of the other Provisions with the acquestions of the other Provisions of the Companies Act, the Articles of Association of Association

129 inclusive).

135. The share records of the Company were not in a satisfactory state in December 1982 (pursquaph 33 to 35 inclusive). The subsequent failure to maintain a proper register and the abundament of distinctive share numbers (faragraphs 34 to 2 proper register and the abundament of distinctive share numbers (faragraphs 34 to 2 proper register and the abundament of distinctive share share numbers). The share of the sha

139. The board of directors has shown considerable loady in the approval of share transfers (sampapa 37). Mr. Riddenton and Mr. Longh have exposed themselves to penalties by registering unstamped transfers (sansterpals 38 and 39). The transactions relating to the shares covered by Mr. Robbins (newagraphs 41 al.). The transactions relating to the shares covered by Mr. Robbins (newagraphs 41 the assistance, or Mr. Robbins and trenglar nechods pursued by Mr. Riddenton (with the assistance, or Mr. Longb) to increase his preponderance in the Company.

13s. Mr. Bose claims eved for puting acticle 28 this operation in order that decrees middle engine for equalization proposes the share of decreased members of extraction of the control o

139. Such closely as was received by the Company in consideration for sharest transferred matter Actived to the askington feature with the Company's own money foreignpub features of the Company whose sharest very which present tree of each deceased inconders of the Company whose shares were while treat on separate account. This is not been done to the company whose shares were while treatment of the contract tree of the contr

Printed image digitised by the University of Southempton Library Digitisation Unit

general handling of this matter, following the questions raised by shareholders at the annual general meeting on 28th January 1865 (paragraph 46) was, in our view, reprehenable. Clearly the shareholders were not given information to which they were entitled, and indeed information was actively concealed from them. The other directors at the time must also bear some responsibility for this, having regard to the communi-

on these which they all received from Mr. A. G. Mechair, fear-graph 97.

140. The director's failure to see that proper books of account were missistated after Agent 1985, or to exercise central over the Company's financial transactions, and the contract of the Company's financial transactions, the contract of the Company's financial transactions are considered to the Company's financial transactions after 50th Agent 1986 (with the contractions after 50th Agent 1986

It impossible for us to assess the full extent of any current financial shortage.

14. The transactions of the development club formed by the directors in August
1982 (paragraph 92) have been treated by us as forming part of the Company's affairs,
1984 (paragraph 93) have been treated by us as forming part of the Company's affairs,
1984 (paragraph 94) when we seem no books or records
adequate to satisfy us that the monitee from time to time in the hands of this club have
been properly deal with or account form of rejuricepted 94 to 90 facilitative).

neem property dealt with or accounted for guragraphs 95 to 99 inchisive). 142. An examination of the records up to 30th April 1988 has disclosed three forms of transaction whereby funds appear to have been mishandied:

- Sums due to the Company not received, or if received, not brought into account.
 Reported outlays where we have seen insufficient evidence to satisfy
 - ourselves that the expenditure has been properly mads.
- (iii) Recorded outlays where the supporting evidence appears not to be valid. After excluding items incorrectly classified only, and items which we have been unable to quantify, the amounts involved are:

		Paragraph		ou as
0)	Missing proceeds of	2 aragraps	é	s.
(4)	Article 32 transfers	58	709	0
	Car park receipts	65	306	3
	Gate receipts from:			
	Cathkin Park, 20th			
	February 1965	68	453	14
	Other matches	Appendix B	808	14
	Transfer fees	Appendix C	1,200	0
	Season tickets - unknown ame		-,	-
	International tickets	88	1,002	0
	Development fund drawings	95	2,050	0
			0 504	
			6,529	-11
61)	Casual wages	103	1,408	0
	Petty cash expenditure -		.,	
	Year to 30th April 1968	111	1,328	13
	Other casual employees	112	235	
	Unexplained items	112	246	8
			3, 218	12
A	W0.4 11 1	113		15
(111)	Whin chips Carpet fitting	115		10
	Carpet fitting	117		13
	Painting and decorating	119		10
	Radiogram, etc.	122		14
	Refreshments	125	53	
	Other electrical	160	-	
	equipment	128	45	17
			371	5
			10.117	8

10,117 8 10

143. In addition, we are not satisfied that the under-noted expenditure which has

	Paragraph	An	OME
Transfer fee - repaid to			s.
Mr. Hiddleston	105	500	0
Salary to Mr. Hiddleston up to January 1967 Salary to Miss M. A. Hiddleston	101	1,640	0
up to 6th January 1967	102	472	0

144. In relation to the transactions described in paragraphs 65 to 85 inclusive fincluding Appendices B & C), 89, and 113 to 129 inclusive, we consider that the circumstances merit police enquiry. In our coinion there are strong grounds for suspicion that in some, at least, of these instances money belonging to the Company has been dishonestly misappropriated by Mr. Hiddleston or misapplied for his benefit. In a large number of the transactions in sucction it is apparent that Mr. Hiddleston personally received money belonging to the Company, or the benefit of goods or services paid for by the Company. In regard to cash received at the gate, the pattern is that on the occasions mentioned in Appendix B, some or all of the cash has been abstracted and cheques representing other income of the Company have been lodged in the bank as representing the deficit. There has been a concomitant concealment of the other income concerned. These transactions occurred when Mr. Hiddleston was for all practical purposes in sole control of the Company's finances. Indeed Mr. Hiddleston bas admitted the practice of abstracting cash from the gate money. He has maintained that the cash abstracted by him was utilised for payment of accounts due by the Company. but has not produced any receipts or books of account relating to his intromissions. The concealment of the income of the Company represented by the chemes paid into the bank in the guise of gate money strongly suggests to us a dishonesty of purpose. In the event, the true situation was only discovered with considerable trouble and difficulty. In certain of the instances relating to goods or services there is evidence that certain relative vouchers have been fabricated or altered, and it is difficult to resist the inference that this was done by, or on the instructions of, Mr. Hiddleston for a dishonest purpose. Likewise Mr. Hiddleston has not been able to satisfy us that the transfer fees admittedly received by him, but not accounted for, (paragraphs 81 to 83 inclusive and Appendix C), have been honestly dealt with by him. In this connection, we resard as significant the absence of any contemporary record of how the sums in question were disbursed.

2.612 0

145. We have found considerable difficulty in assessing the role played by Mr. Lough in connection with Mr. Hiddleston's questionable transactions. It is to be noted that in one instance (paragraph 74), Mr. Lough personally made a bank lodgement, purporting to represent gate money, consisting not in cash but in a cheque representing other income of the Company. Mr. Lough has been unable to satisfy us as to bow he came to be party to this transaction. We find it difficult to accept that he, a professional accountant, was unaware of the composition of a sum which be lodged in the bank, or that he did not appreciate that the transaction was open to question. Although we have no evidence that he received any personal benefit from any of the transactions in question. and acquit him of any dishonest intention, we consider that he deliberately ignored or closed his eyes to the irregular nature of Mr. Hiddleston's intromissions and that his continued association with Mr. Hiddleston in these intromissions was contrary to proper professional standards, and not in accordance with his duty as a director and as secretary of the Company at the material time.

146. We wish to make it clear that in our view no significant responsibility for the eventual state of affairs disclosed in this report rests on any person who finally coased to hold office in the Company on or before 20th May 1985. On the other hand, due responsibility must be borne by those who have held office subsequent to that date, subject to the observation that Mr. Foreman was not appointed to the board of directors until 2nd December 1986, and has not to our knowledge been an active director.

(Signed) J. M. TURNER H.S. KEITH 16th November 1967

	Summary of share transactions recorded as being in terms of Article 32	ransactions reco	rded as being	in terms of A	rticle 32		
Name	Issue of certificate	Approsal by directors	Nu Preference	Number of shares Preference Ordinary	Total	Paid to Company	Reporte Board of
J. Armey	2. 7.64.	2, 7,64.	30	80	100	8	100
T.C. McPhee	2. 7.64.	2, 7,64,	20	80	100	100	100
T White	2, 7,64,	2, 7, 64,	17	8	100	100	18
J. Boss	2. 7.64.	2, 7.64.	25	Ę	100		900
-8	9. 7.64.	2. 7.64.	16	18	100	200	200

ed to

21. 1.65. Position at 7th September 1955* 31, 12, 64. 31, 12, 64,

J.F. Reill

Letter to Board of Trade 7th September 1985 reporting total Article 32 transfers to date 1,209 and total
cash held on deposit receipt £1,209.

7 Payment on 20th January 1966 for shares issued on 31st December 1964.

W.C. Hiddleston

	Cheques Iodged Detail	Proceeds of sale of international tickets Untraced	Clydo FC - part of transfer for for playor M. Marray Datraced (momon order)		Untraced David Alian & Sons Limited,	20	British Kuropean Airways - Redund Donation from Supportors' association	Clydebraik PC - proceeds of match at Clydebraik
		17 0 8 8		0 2 6	38 18 11 32 10 0	100 0 0 97 9 0	80 0	97 4 7 8 8 8 12 8 8 8 14 0 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
hkin Park	0	8 8	319 2 0	Less: Cash uplifted	38 18 11	100 0 0 97 9 0	82 17 0	Less: Cash uplifted
Gate receipts from Cathkin Park	Cash lodged E s. d.			Less:	192 14 1 123 13 6	11 15 0	114 0 6	Less: 0
Gate rece	Date of bank lodgement 1965	Leth March	19th October 19th October		1st November 16th November	18th December 30th December 1986	14th Jamary 25th Jamary	
	Cash Received E s. d.	0	125 8 0		231 13 0 156 3 6	111 15 0 97 9 0	208 17 6	
	Opposessi Atrefetomisms 20		Allon Athletic FC Albion Rovers FC		Ayr United FC East Fife FC	Stenbousemuir FC Berwick Rangers FC	Queen's Park PC	
	Date 1965 13th March		25th September 11th October		1st November 13th November	16th December 18th December 1955	1st January	

18

Printed image digitised by the University of Southernston Library Digitisation Unit

APPENDIX B



13th Pebruary

APPENDIX C

Transfer fee deficits

	Player Transferred	Fee Received	Transfer F recorded is books		
1965		£	£	£	
- May	J. McKay	750	-	750	Received by Mr. Hiddleston and stated by him to have been utilized for payment of tradesmen
8 August	J. Geddes	300	-	300	Recorded as loss from Mr. Hiddleston and repaid to him on 13th August 1965*
- October	M. Murray	1,600	1,300	300	Stated by Mr. Hiddleston to have been paid to Mr. Murray.
- February	R. Black	100	-	100	Recorded as payment by Mr. Hiddleston for his purchase of shares under Article 32*
- August	M. Jackson	150	-	1.600	Received by Mr. Hiddleston and stated by him to have been utilised for payment of tradesmen.

Mr. Hiddleston stated that he had taken credit for these sums to compensate for earlier payments to tradesmen made by him personally on behalf of the club.



Copy of invoice included with others in the Company records in support of a payment of £19 15s. 6d. to Messrs. Scott & Ras Limited on 5th Masch 1966.



22



SHULMAN & SONS LTD.

Wholesole Manufacturing Furriers ST. ENOCH HOUSE, 163 ARGYLE STREET Carpet Dept. 164 HOWARD ST.

GLASGOW, C3 3rd Nax 2065

M. Thirt, Lanark A.C. Itt.

7.	Source 631 27' x 12')		21	246	7.	2
7.	len 631 27' x 3/4)Super Trident.	3	13/4	2.9	4	0
7.	les 631 7'3" x 4')	3.2/9	25/	64	3	0
		1/fax.		4.3	9.	12
	EN GLUBROOM Ross		L.	262	13.	
N)	and blut Room The yment		_	_	-	L
-17			+	⊢	-	H
i			+		-	۰

Departments :- FURS -- FUR COATS -- MANTLES -- GOWES -- RUGS, DE-

Voucher taken from Company records where it was shown as supporting only payment £62 [3s. 3d. on 3ed May 1965.



APPENDIX G(b)

TO JOHN BAIRD PAINTER AND DECORATION

.mirr .mnark a.C.Etc., pathkin .crk,

20,9/15

to reinfing otent toilets and otens oterway meet anile in alumintus. Josting presents and moore outing presents and moore.

Copy of vouchers taken from the Company records and purporting to

represent payments to Mr. John Baird.



R AND DECORATOR 24th, uptober 4965.

cathkin zark,

ula row, 8.2.

no vainting and wallpapering.

.lub .remines inclusing Tolleto.

028980

Copy of voucher taken from the Company secords purporting to support a payment of £49 to John Baird on the 28th October 1965. Copy of cheque relating thereto.



Copy of worcher No. 508E included in the Company records in support of a purported payment of £60 11s. to Mossis. AID Electrics (Glasgow) Limited on 25th February 1965, and of the cheque relating to this payment.

A.I.D. ELECTRICS (Glasgow) LTD.

Agents for all Makes of Washing Machines and Official Hoover Dealers APPOINTED HOOVER SERVICE CENTRE

> MEGISTRATO CONICE. 54 HOWARD STREET. ST. ENDCH SOUME. GLASGOW, C.1

fird Lanark A.C.Ltd.. Cathirin Park.

2Ist.March 1965.

Crosshill, Glasgov.

To Goods.



Copy of receipt taken from Company records and purporting to support eash payment £18 3s.

Glb Cagle Inn

Mrs. Elimateth McErubb Was and Strict Dealer Private - CHITAL 2001

Mins Cateres

t.

of Godt.

£17-19.6



Copy of weather, taken from the Company secords, purporting to support a cash payment of £17 19s. 6d. to Old Eagle Inn Limited on 28th April 1963 but not accepted by Mr. McCradden as being genuine.



Comy of youghers in the Company records supporting a cash payment of



£45 17s. 10d. on 28th April 1965.

BOARD OF TRADE

Third Lanark Athletic Club Limited

Investigation

under Section 165 (b) of the Companies Act 1948

Report by

Mr. John Moncrieff Turner, OBE, CA

and

The Hon. Henry Shanks Keith, QC

Inspectors appointed by the Board of Trade)



LONDON

HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1968

Mr. W.C. Hiddleston mentioned in the report died on the 16th November, 1967.

The publication of this report was afterweal pending the completion of crimical proceedings instituted on behalf of the Lord Archivest in March 1948 are a result of which Azex William of the Completion of the

SBN 11 510100 4

Contents

											page
Appoin	tmer	ts and term	s of refere	nce							1
History	7										1
Prelim	inar	y investigati	on								1
		the Company									
		s and secret	taries								
	1)										2
	iii) jr)	Qualificatio Minutes and			• •		••	• •	• •		3
					••		••				3
		y records no	t kept	• •	• •		••	• •			3
		meetings									
	i) ii)	General Notice to sh	Const.					• •	**		3
	iii)	Minutes	arenomer	٠	::	::	::	::		::	- 2
	iv)	Request for	Board of	Trade	enqui						4
0		m m									
		Share Trans	sactions								
		pital		* *	• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	4
	re re	gisters			• •	• •	••		• •		4
C Shar	re nt	mbers					• •		• •		5
D App	rova	l of transfer	s by direc	tors							5
E Unst	tamp	ed share tra	insfers								5
F Othe	er ir	regular shar	e transfer	16							5
		32 transfers									5
	1)										5
	in	Letter from	Board of	Trade	• • •			- ::	::		6
	11i)	Evidence of			11.						6
	iv)	Transfers t			153	shares		• •	• •	• •	6
H Pro	ceed	s of sale uno	er Article	32							
(1)										6
	H) HI)	Share price Deposit rec	alat		::				::	::	6
	iv)	Amounts no		::						- ::	Ÿ
		actions									
A Gen				• •	• •		• •	• •	• •	• •	7
B Inco	mpl	ete records					• •			• •	7
C Blar	ak ci	eques									8
D Inco	me e	of the compa	ny:								
	i)	Car park re	ceipts								8
	ii)	Gate receip	ts from Ca	thkin :	Park		• •				8
	iii) lv)	Gate receip Transfer fe	ts from "a	way":	nateh	es 		::		::	9
(v)	Advertising				45.5					9
	vi)	Season tick								• •	9
8	vii)	Internations Donations f	L LICKELS	rters'	a8800	iation	**	**	::	::	10
6	ix)	Developmen	t funds	rere		Tatton.		::	::	::	10
		ture by the c									
	1)	Wages									11
	11)	Transfer fe	e paid		::				::	::	11
0	iii)	Cash expens	liture								11
6	iv)	Sundry outli	ays					* *			12

Appendices A Summary of share transactions recorded as being in terms of Article 32 17

B Gate receipts from Cathkin Park C Transfer fee deficits

D Invoice of a payment to Messrs, Scott & Rae Limited on 5th March 1966 21 E Voucher No. 505 and a cheque of a purported payment to Mr. Eric Albert on 24th February 1965 22 23

page

18 20

F Voucher supporting payment to Schulman & Sons Ltd. on 3rd May 1965 G Vouchers and cheques purporting payment to Mr. John Baird 24

H Voucher No. 508E and cheque purporting payment to Messrs. AID Electrics (Glasgow) Limited on 25th February 1965 26 I Receipt purporting payment to AID Electrics (Glasgow) Ltd. on 21st

March 1965 J Voucher purporting payment to Cld Eagle Inn Limited on 28th April 1963

28 K Voucher supporting payment to Falks Ltd. on 28th April 1965 29